

CC 2023-02-07 CI #1 Poff

From: michaelpoff@juno.com
To: [Public Comment](#)
Subject: Planning Commission
Date: Monday, February 6, 2023 9:22:19 PM

Please read my Public Comment into the minutes:

City Council,

I attended the Planning Commission meeting on January 26th and wanted to make an observation. While sometimes hotly contested items create some excitement, I would like to point out that the planning commission is an independent body, and sometimes when multiple members of the Council show up, it blurs the bounds of independence. Include in that the Mayor attending, and all of you sit together, it can create the perception that you are exerting influence. While it's good to be involved, and to want to see and hear comments and discussion, this can be done online, through the meeting minutes or listening to the recordings. Several times the discussion was directed your way in the meeting, and I thought that you made a good effort to direct the discussion back to them, this does however create the impression that they are getting direction from the Council on how to proceed or at minimum looking for some sort of affirmation. I would suggest that you consider how your attending a meeting may impact that independence and perhaps excuse yourself from those meetings. That being said, there maybe times where it is appropriate to attend. For example, In Councilman Halverson's situation where he is directly impacted by a project by his house.

Indubitably,

Michael Poff

CC 2023-02-07 CI #2 Sturm
**Comments to South Weber City Council
for 07Feb23Meeting
by Paul A. Sturm**

General Comment - South Weber City Official Website

During the past two months there have been many changes to the SWC official website as how to locate documents that has created a "Whack-A Mole situation. This has happened when trying to locate a document referenced in the various SWC official "Public Notices" wherein it states the document is on the SWC website. When I receive a "Public Notice", I quickly read the notice. Later, when I go to the City website, I attempt to locate the referenced document and the document is often difficult to locate, or not even there!

The reason I call this a "Whack-A-Mole effort is that the location of a document, once found, could be in a different location the next time I try to find it. In the past, documents were located on the City website under the top level CC or PC location. Next, the document was found one level down where a horizontal "Task Bar" with "Government" was present. Then, about a week later, the horizontal "Task Bar" disappeared. When talking with a SWC citizen about upcoming meetings and documents, he informed me that he had to go down yet another level. Then, to get to this next level, I had to click on three horizontal bars in the upper right hand corner. This took me to a dropdown menu and could click on "Government". that showed the various documents for example, for the 9Feb23 PC meeting. Then, to get further information, one has to click on a link to get to the actual documents.

Finally, a complete packet was published had all of the inclusions, although some content was modified somewhat from what was initially published in the dropdown linked files.

Public Comments on Agenda Discussion Item #5 -Development Proposal Concept by Nilson Homes - Reference - Packet Pages 5-14 of 117

5. Development Proposal Concept by Nilson Homes

There appears to be an inconsistency between the City Council Meeting Staff Report in Background wherein, in the second paragraph it states that the total unit count is **56**.

The use of the easement property allowed for 9 additional units to be built on the property. Putting the total unit count at 56 units. An inquiry was made by the State

On page 7 of 117 Nilson Homes states in their proposed Development Agreement in Article II paragraph 2.1.1 that they are proposing **61** Units.

2.1.1 Development. The Project will consist of the improvements as shown in Exhibit A. The project will consist of 61 Lots all single-family homes, Phase 1 will consist of 30 Lots, Phase 2 will have 31 Lots. See Exhibit A.

Again, on page 8 of 117 Nilson Homes states in their proposed Development Agreement in Article II paragraph 2.2.2 that they are proposing **61** Units.

2.2.2 The City will allow clustering of 61 lots. See Exhibit A

Is the number of units 56 or 61?

From: [Mindi Smith](#)
To: [Public Comment](#)
Subject: 15 minute cities
Date: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 12:02:28 PM

Please vote against anything that could bring anymore mixed use or HDH to South Weber.

15 minute cities won't save the climate they are entirely about control. Honestly it takes way more faith in the unbelievable (that government can save the world) than it takes to believe that these 15 minute cities just might be a "threat to our democracy".

This is out of the UK where it's currently happening -
<https://donorbox.org/help-not-our-future-defeat-15-minute-cities>

Utah bragging about making one.

<https://usa.streetsblog.org/2022/01/13/utah-is-building-a-15-minute-city-from-scratch/?mibextid=Zxz2cZ&fbclid=IwAR0z2euhQAtYmeITaMcyjXXiz3sp7YZoS3bi1ONwIHAM9XSZ99GNPCjCSGyw>

Utah using the same propoganda that the WEF is pushing.

<https://envisionutah.org/creating-communities-guide>

[The 15-Minute City > Sustainable Development Impact Summit | World Economic Forum](#)

[Advocacy | Utah League of Cities and Towns](#)

https://twitter.com/wef/status/1475043731002830851?s=46&t=ibCRkwbUyIYYZS_GeWUp8g

<https://truthtalk.uk/2023/british-protest-15-minute-cities-where-they-will-become-prisoners-of-the-state/>

[Oxford County Council's 15-Minute City idea - Lightnet](#)